

## A shorter proof of the Smith normal form of skew-Hadamard matrices and their designs

İlhan Hacıoğlu\* and Aytül Keman†

### Abstract

We provide a shorter algebraic proof for the Smith normal form of skew-hadamard matrices and the related designs.

**Keywords:**  $p$ -rank, Hadamard design, Smith normal form.

*2000 AMS Classification:* 20C08, 51E12, 05B20

### 1. Introduction

Smith normal forms and  $p$ -ranks of designs can help distinguish non-isomorphic designs with the same parameters. So it is interesting to know their Smith normal form explicitly. Smith normal forms of some designs were computed in [2],[3] and [5]. In this article we give a shorter proof for the Smith normal form of skew-hadamard matrices and their designs.

A *Hadamard matrix*  $H$  of order  $n$  is an  $n$  by  $n$  matrix whose elements are  $\pm 1$  and which satisfies  $HH^T = nI_n$ . It is *skew-Hadamard matrix* if, it also satisfies  $H + H^T = 2I_n$ . For more information about the Hadamard matrices please see [1], [9]. Similar definitions stated below can be found in [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

The *incidence matrix* of a Hadamard  $(4m - 1, 2m, m)$  design  $D$  is a  $4m - 1$  by  $4m - 1$   $(0, 1)$ -matrix  $A$  that satisfies

$$AA^T = A^T A = mI + mJ.$$

The complementary design  $\bar{D}$  is a  $(4m - 1, 2m - 1, m - 1)$  design with incidence matrix  $J - A$ . A skew-hadamard  $(4m - 1, 2m, m)$  design is a hadamard design that satisfies(after some row and column permutations)

$$A + A^T = I + J$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Arts and Science Faculty Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100 Çanakkale,Turkey, Email: [hacioglu@comu.edu.tr](mailto:hacioglu@comu.edu.tr)

†Department of Mathematics, Arts and Science Faculty Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, 17100 Çanakkale,Turkey, Email: [aytulkeman@hotmail.com](mailto:aytulkeman@hotmail.com)

**Integral Equivalence:** If  $A$  and  $B$  are matrices over the ring  $Z$  of integers,  $A$  and  $B$  are called *equivalent* ( $A \sim B$ ) if there are  $Z$ -matrices  $P$  and  $Q$ , of determinant  $\pm 1$ , such that

$$B = PAQ$$

which means that one can be obtained from the other by a sequence of the following operations:

- Reorder the rows,
- Negate some row,
- Add an integer multiple of one row to another,

and the corresponding column operations.

**Smith Normal Form:** If  $A$  is any  $n$  by  $n$ ,  $Z$ -matrix, then there is a unique  $Z$ -matrix

$$S = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

such that  $A \sim S$  and

$$a_1 | a_2 | \dots | a_r, a_{r+1} = \dots = a_n = 0,$$

where the  $a_i$  are non-negative. The greatest common divisor of  $i$  by  $i$  subdeterminants of  $A$  is

$$a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_i.$$

The  $a_i$  are called *invariant factors* of  $A$  and  $S$  is the Smith normal form ( $SNF(A)$ ) of  $A$ .

**$p$ -Rank:** The  $p$ -rank of an  $n$  by  $n$ ,  $Z$ -matrix  $A$  is the rank of  $A$  over a field of characteristic  $p$  and is denoted by  $\text{rank}_p(A)$ . The  $p$ -rank of  $A$  is related to the invariant factors  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  by

$$\text{rank}_p(A) = \max\{i : p \text{ does not divide } a_i\}$$

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

**2.1. Proposition.** ([6] or [8]): Let  $H$  be a Hadamard matrix of order  $4m$  with invariant factors  $h_1, \dots, h_{4m}$ . Then  $h_1 = 1$ ,  $h_2 = 2$ , and  $h_i h_{4m+1-i} = 4m$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4m$ ).

**2.2. Theorem.** ([7]): Let  $A, B, C = A + B$ , be  $n$  by  $n$  matrices over  $Z$ , with invariant factors  $h_1(A) | \dots | h_n(A)$ ,  $h_1(B) | \dots | h_n(B)$ ,  $h_1(C) | \dots | h_n(C)$ , respectively. Then

$$\gcd(h_i(A), h_j(B)) | h_{i+j-1}(A+B)$$

for any indices  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $i + j - 1 \leq n$ , where  $\gcd$  denotes greatest common divisor.

**2.3. Theorem.** ([4]): Let  $D$  be a skew-Hadamard  $(4m-1, 2m, m)$  design. Suppose that  $p$  divides  $m$ . Then  $\text{rank}_p(D) = 2m - 1$  and  $\text{rank}_p(\overline{D}) = 2m$ .

The author in [5] proves the following theorem by using completely different method. Here we provide a shorter algebraic proof for this theorem and the corollary following it.

**2.4. Theorem.** *A skew-Hadamard matrix of order  $4m$  has Smith normal form*

$$\text{diag}[1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{2m-1}, \underbrace{2m, \dots, 2m}_{2m-1}, 4m].$$

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 2.2 with  $A = H$  and  $B = H^T$  we get  $\gcd(h_i(H), h_j(H^T))|2$  which means that  $\gcd(h_i(H), h_j(H^T)) = 1$  or  $2$  where  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4m, i+j-1 \leq 4m$ . If  $m = 1$  then we have a skew-Hadamard matrix of order 4 and by proposition 1 the result follows. Assume that  $m > 1$  then by proposition 1 we know that  $h_1(H) = 1, h_2(H) = 2, h_{4m-1}(H) = 2m$  and  $h_{4m}(H) = 4m$ . Since  $SNF(H) = SNF(H^T)$  assume that  $h_{2m}(H) = 2k$  and  $h_{2m}(H^T) = 2k$  where  $k \neq 1$  and  $k$  is a divisor of  $m$ . In this case  $i = j = 2m$  and Theorem 2.2 gives us  $\gcd(h_i(H), h_j(H^T)) = 2k|2$ . But this is a contradiction since  $k \neq 1$ . So  $k = 1$  which means that  $h_{2m}(H) = h_{2m}(H^T) = 2$ . So all the first  $2m$  elements except the first one have to be 2. Since we found the first  $2m$  elements, using proposition 1 again we obtain the remaining elements namely  $h_{2m+1}(H) = h_{2m+2}(H) = \dots = h_{4m-1}(H) = 2m$  and  $h_{4m}(H) = 4m$ .  $\square$

**2.5. Corollary.** *The Smith normal form of the incidence matrix of a skew-Hadamard  $(4m - 1, 2m, m)$  design is*

$$\text{diag}[\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{2m-1}, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{2m-1}, 2m].$$

*Proof.* By [5] any skew-Hadamard matrix of order  $4m$  is integrally equivalent to  $[1] \oplus (2A)$ . This means that all the invariant factors of  $A$  are half of the corresponding invariant factors of  $H$  except the first one. So the result follows.  $\square$

Note that we know from Theorem 2.3 that  $\text{rank}_p A = 2m - 1$  which agrees with our result.

By using similar techniques that we used above we get the Smith normal form of the complementary skew-Hadamard design:

**2.6. Corollary.** *The Smith normal form of the incidence matrix of a skew-Hadamard  $(4m - 1, 2m - 1, m - 1)$  design is*

$$\text{diag}[\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{2m}, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{2m-2}, m(2m - 1)].$$

## References

- [1] Horadam, K.J. *Hadamard Matrices and their Applications*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, (2010).
- [2] Koukouvinos, C., Mitrouli, M., Seberry, J. *On the Smith Normal form of D-optimal designs*, Linear Algebra Appl., 247, 277-295, (1996).
- [3] Koukouvinos, C., Mitrouli, M., Seberry, J. *On the Smith Normal form of weighing matrices*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 19, 57-69, (1997).
- [4] Michael, T.S. *The p-Ranks of Skew Hadamard Designs*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser.A 73, 170-171, (1996).
- [5] Michael, T.S., Wallis, W.D. *Skew-Hadamard Matrices and the Smith Normal Form*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography 13, 173-176, (1998).
- [6] Newman, M. *Invariant Factors of Combinatorial Matrices*, Israel J. Math. 10, 126-130, (1971).

- [7] Thompson, R.C. *The Smith Invariants of a Matrix Sum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78, 162-164, (1980).
- [8] Wallis, W.D., Wallis, J. *Equivalence of Hadamard Matrices*, Israel J. Math. 7, 122-128, (1969).
- [9] Wallis, W.D. *Combinatorial Designs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1988).